

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

NEWMARK GROUP, INC., G&E
ACQUISITION COMPANY, LLC, and BGC
REAL ESTATE OF NEVADA, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

v.

AVISON YOUNG (CANADA) INC.;
AVISON YOUNG (USA) INC.; AVISON
YOUNG-NEVADA, LLC, MARK ROSE,
THE NEVADA COMMERCIAL GROUP,
JOHN PINJUV, and JOSEPH KUPIEC; DOES
1 through 5; and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES
6 through 10,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:15-cv-00531-RFB-EJY

ORDER

Before the Court is Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to Redact and Seal Exhibits to, and Sections of, Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel the AY Defendants and the NGC Defendants to (I) Search Additional Sources of ESI, (II) Produce "AY University" Documents, (III) Produce Documents Improperly Included in the AY Defendants' Clawback Request, (IV) Produce Documents Improperly Withheld as Privileged, and (V) Provide an Adequate Forensic Report. ECF No. 399. No response to this Motion was filed by Defendants.

As the party seeking to seal a judicial record, Plaintiffs must meet their burden of overcoming the strong presumption in favor of access and public policies favoring disclosure. *Kamakana v. City and Cnty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178-79 (9th Cir. 2006) (holding that those who seek to maintain the secrecy of documents attached to dispositive motions must meet the high threshold of showing that "compelling reasons" support secrecy). However, where a party seeks to seal documents attached to a non-dispositive motion, the "public policies that support the right of access to dispositive motions ... do not apply with equal force" *Kamakana*, 417 F.3d at 1179 (citation omitted).

1 The mere fact that the production of records may lead to a party's embarrassment,
2 incrimination, or exposure to further litigation will not alone compel the court to seal its
3 records. *Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1136 (9th Cir. 2003). Compelling
4 reasons require a demonstration of something more, such as when court files have become a vehicle
5 for improper purposes, including use of records to gratify private spite, promote public scandal,
6 disseminate libelous statements, or circulate trade secrets. *Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns*, 435 U.S.
7 589, 598 (1978).

8 The Court considered the Motion and the documents sought to be sealed. The Court also
9 considered ECF No. 409, Plaintiffs' Supplemental Memorandum. The Court finds Exhibits 1, 3, 4
10 page AYNV-00032998, 6, 7, 10-14, 15, 20, 22, 25-28, 36, 42, 43, 50, 51, 53, 58, and 59 are properly
11 sealed.

12 The Court further finds Exhibits 2, 4 (except as stated above), 5, 8, 9, 16-19, 27, 33, 37, 38,
13 41-49, 52, 54, 60, and 63 contain no information that warrants sealing.

14 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to Redact and
15 Seal Exhibits to, and Sections of, Plaintiffs Motion to Compel the AY Defendants and the NGC
16 Defendants to (I) Search Additional Sources of ESI, (II) Produce "AY University" Documents, (III)
17 Produce Documents Improperly Included in the AY Defendants' Clawback Request, (IV) Produce
18 Documents Improperly Withheld as Privileged, and (V) Provide an Adequate Forensic Report (ECF
19 No. 399) is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part.

20 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Exhibits 1, 3, 4 page AYNV-00032998, 6, 7, 10-14, 15,
21 20, 22, 25-28, 36, 41, 42, 43, 50, 51, 53, 58, and 59 shall remain sealed. Pages in Plaintiffs' Motion
22 to Compel (ECF No. 397) redacted based on reference to these Exhibits or the contents thereof shall
23 remain redacted.

24 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that because the Court finds Exhibits 2, 4 (except as stated
25 above), 5, 8, 9, 16-19, 27, 33, 37, 38, 41, 44-49, 52, 54, 60, and 63 contain no information that
26 warrants sealing, the Court shall temporarily maintain these documents as sealed providing the
27 parties through and including December 21, 2020 to submit additional information and argument
28 should either or any party wish to have the Court reconsider its conclusion regarding these Exhibits.

1 If nothing additional is submitted, these Exhibits shall be unsealed on December 22, 2020, and
2 Plaintiffs shall resubmit its Motion to Compel (ECF No. 397) removing redactions referencing these
3 Exhibits and the contents thereof.

4 DATED: December 11, 2020

5
6 
7 ELAYNA J. YOUCHAK
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28